

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

Neil Reiff, Esq. Sandler, Reiff, and Young, P.C. 300 M Street, S.E. Suite 1102 Washington, DC 20003 NOV 2 8 2009

RE: MUR 6192

Lakin Law Firm P.C.

Dear Mr. Reiff:

On May 14, 2009, the Federal Election Commission notified your client, Lakin Law Firm P.C., of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended.

Upon further review of the allegations contained in the complaint, and the information provided by your client, on November 13, 2009, the Commission found that there is no reason to believe that Lakin Law Firm, P.C. violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a(a) or 441b(a). Accordingly, on November 13, 2009, the Commission closed the file in this matter.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fcd. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003). The Factual and Legal Analysis, which more fully explains the Commission's decision, is enclosed for your information.

If you have any questions, please contact Shana M. Broussard, the attorney assigned to this matter at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Mark Allen

Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure
Factual and Legal Analysis

)	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION			
3				
4 5 6 7	RESPONDENT:	Lakin Law Firm, P.C.	MUR 6192	
8 9	I. <u>GENERATION OF MATTER</u>			
10	This matter	was generated by a Complaint f	iled with the Federal Election	
11	Commission ("the Commission") by Stephen Jellen. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1).			
12	II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS			
13	The Complaint alleges that Lakin Law Firm P.C. ("LLF") made excessive and			
14	possibly prohibited corporate contributions to the Madison County Democratic Central			
15	Committee ("MCDCC" or "the Committee"), a local party committee of the Illinois			
16	Democratic Party, in violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as			
17	amended ("the Act"). LLF acknowledges that it made two monetary contributions and an			
18	in-kind contribution to MCDCC, totaling \$10,594. LLF Response at 2. LLF contends			
19	that its contributions were intended for non-federal activities, see LLF Response at 2, and			
20	the available information does not suggest otherwise. See 2 U.S.C. § 431(8)(A) (the Act			
21	defines "contribution" to include "anything of value made by any person for the purpose			
22	of influencing any election for Federal office"). Based upon the available information,			
23	MCDCC did not meet any of the Act's thresholds for political committee status and thus			us
24	the Committee is not subject to the Act's limitations and prohibitions on contributions			
25	received. Accordingly, the contributions made by LLF do not appear to be subject to the			
26	Act's limits and prof	nibitions. 1 Because the available	e information does not indicate tha	ıt

¹ Illinois statute 10 ILCS 5/9-1 et. seq. (2008) permits candidates for state and local office to raise money from individuals, partnerships, and corporations without limits or restrictions on the amounts of such contributions.

MUR 6192
Factual and Legal Analysis (Lakin Law Firm P.C.)
Page 2

- LLF made excessive or corporate contributions, the Commission finds no reason to
- 2 believe that the Lakin Law Firm, P.C. violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a(a) or 441b(a).